DEPARTMENT TWO – JUDGE WILLIAM HARRISON TENTATIVE RULINGS SCHEDULED FOR WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 18, 2010

GARCIA v. BEACON INDUSTRIES WORLDWIDE, INC., et al. Case No. FCS032382

Motion by SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY to Compel Defendant BEACON INDUSTRIES WORLDWIDE, INC's Person Most Knowledgeable to Appear for Deposition in Solano County

TENTATIVE RULING

The unopposed motion is granted.

The court hereby compels BEACON INDUSTRIES WORLDWIDE, INC. ("BEACON") to produce for deposition the person most knowledgeable as to the matters set forth in the deposition notice previously served by SCOTTSDALE INSURANCE COMPANY ("SCOTTSDALE"), for deposition in Solano County, pursuant to a new deposition notice timely and properly served by SCOTTSDALE setting a time and place for this deposition. All costs related to travel for this deponent are to be paid by BEACON, not SCOTTSDALE.

In addition, the court imposes sanctions against BEACON in the total amount of \$1545.00, payable to SCOTTSDALE within 20 days of service of this order.

CRESCENT BANK & TRUST v. TERRY Case No. VCM108188

Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication

TENTATIVE RULING

The motion for summary judgment filed by Plaintiff Crescent Bank is granted. Plaintiff has submitted sufficient evidence that establishes all of the essential elements of a cause of action for breach of contract, a common count for money had and received, and a common count for account stated. Defendant has not presented any facts or evidence to dispute Plaintiff's evidence.

Defendant alleged in her answer that the Notice of Intention to Dispose of the Vehicle was not sent to the proper address. However, Defendant did not present any evidence in support of this contention in opposition to the motion. Therefore, the undisputed facts are that Plaintiff sent the Notice to the last known address, as required by Civil Code Section 2983.2.

Judgment shall be entered in the following amounts: \$14,534.84 for the principal amount owed, \$5,269.70 for interest at the rate of 22% per annum through July 28, 2009, additional interest at the rate of \$8.76 per diem up until judgment is entered, and late charges of \$468.24 pursuant to the contract. In addition, the court finds that Plaintiff is the prevailing party in this action, and is therefore entitled to costs and reasonable attorney's fees under the contract, the amounts of which are to be determined pursuant to the procedures set out in Rule 3.1700 and Rule 3.1702 of the California Rules of Court after judgment is entered.